

How does your super fund measure up when it comes to animal welfare?



	No live animal export	No intensive animal farming	No animal testing - cosmetics	Published animal welfare policy or position statement	Published antibiotic use policy - animal agriculture	Proactive animal welfare advocacy
Australian Ethical						
Cruelty Free Super						
Future Super						
Verve Super						
CareSuper						
Christian Super						
Australian Catholic Super Retirement Fund (ACSRF)						
Rest Super						
Mercy Super						
NGS Super						
Aware Super						
HESTA						
Hostplus						
Perpetual WealthFocus Super						
Sunsuper						
VicSuper						
Media Super						
All Other Super Funds						





Explanation of super fund table categories

	Meets the criteria	It's a start	Doesn't meet the criteria
<p>No live animal export</p>	<p>Scenario A: A published fund-wide exclusion re investing in, or lending to, the live export sector.</p> <p>Scenario B: Provision of at least one option to members which excludes investments in, or lending to, the live animal export industry and associated supply chains.</p>	<p>A time-bound commitment to implement scenario A or B (in writing).</p>	<p>Scenario A: Active investment in, or lending to, the live animal export industry and associated supply chains.</p> <p>Scenario B: No public position on live animal export.</p>
<p>No intensive animal farming</p>	<p>Scenario A: A published fund-wide exclusion re investing in, or lending to, the intensive animal farming sector.</p> <p>Scenario B: Provision of at least one option to members which excludes investments in, or lending to, the intensive animal farming industry and associated supply chains.</p>	<p>A time-bound commitment to implement scenario A or B (in writing).</p>	<p>Scenario A: Active investment in, or lending to, the intensive animal farming industry and associated supply chains.</p> <p>Scenario B: No public position on intensive animal farming.</p>
<p>No animal testing - cosmetics</p>	<p>Scenario A: A published fund-wide exclusion re investing in, or lending to, industries which facilitate animal testing for cosmetic products.</p> <p>Scenario B: Provision of at least one option to members which excludes investments in, or lending to, industries which facilitate animal testing for cosmetic products.</p>	<p>A time-bound commitment to implement scenario A or B (in writing).</p>	<p>Scenario A: (scenario A): Active investment in, or lending to, industries which facilitate animal testing for cosmetic products.</p> <p>Scenario B: No public position on animal testing for cosmetic products.</p>
<p>Published animal welfare policy or position statement</p>	<p>Scenario A: A published fund-wide exclusion re investing in, or lending to, intensive animal agriculture, live export and cosmetic testing on animals.</p> <p>Scenario B: A detailed policy including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recognition of sentience and the Five Domains framework. • Statement setting out commitments and expectations of invested companies aligned with the FARMS Responsible Minimum Standards. 	<p>A published position on animal welfare which places at least some restrictions on the allocation of funds to harmful animal industries.</p>	<p>No public position on animal welfare, or one supportive of investment in intensive systems or live export.</p>
<p>Published antibiotic use policy - animal agriculture</p>	<p>Scenario A: A published policy including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requirement for public reporting of antimicrobial use on an annual basis; • No use of antibiotics for growth promotion; • No routine group prophylactic use of antibiotics. <p>Scenario B: Published exclusions re investing in, or lending to, conventional animal agriculture and live export (which negates the need for a policy on the use of antibiotics in animal agriculture).</p>	<p>A time-bound commitment to develop a policy (in writing).</p>	<p>No public position on antibiotic use in animal agriculture.</p>
<p>Proactive animal welfare advocacy</p>	<p>Evidence of active engagement including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Endorsement of public statements or co-signature of letters relating to animal welfare and antibiotic use (eg BBFAW investor statement). • Submissions to relevant legislative and regulatory processes calling for policies and standards consistent with the FARMS Responsible Minimum Standards. • Engagement with specific industry participants. 	<p>Some limited examples of the above</p>	<p>No evidence of relevant engagement or advocacy.</p>