

Module 31

Economics and Animal Welfare



This lecture was first developed for **World Animal Protection** by Dr David Main (University of Bristol) in 2003. It was revised by **World Animal Protection** scientific advisors in 2012 using updates provided by Dr Caroline Hewson.

Free online resources

To get free updates and additional materials, please go to www.animalmosaic.org/education/tertiary-education/

This module will teach you

How improving animal welfare can increase the farmer's income

- ⌘ What prevents this from happening
- ⌘ The economic reasons why farm animal welfare may remain poor

How animal welfare impacts on sales in domestic and export markets



Introduction 1

Economics = study of our preferences and priorities
(Norwood & Lusk, 2011)

- ✦ **As farmers**
- ✦ **As consumers (food, fur, pets, endangered species)**
- ✦ **As government (acting on our behalf)**
- ✦ **Our preferences and priorities include**
- ✦ **Animals' welfare**
- ✦ **Our welfare**
- ✦ **These are reflected by the farmer's 'willingness to pay'**

Introduction 2

Increasing global demand for food ⇒
increased and more intensive livestock
farming (Robinson et al., 2011)

Cheap food policy

- **Benefits:** affordable food, improved human health, employment in food processing



Credit: Jonathan Hoke/flickr.com

Introduction 3

Hidden financial and non-financial costs not reflected in purchase price of food
(Hewson, 2007), e.g.

- ❖ **Animal suffering**
- ❖ **Farmer suffering (depression, suicide)**
- ❖ **Human obesity ⇒ diabetes, etc.**
- ❖ **Environmental damage**

How improving welfare can increase farm income

Three ways that improving welfare can increase farm income (World Bank, 2006)

- ❖ Increase efficiency and profitability of the farm
- ❖ Meet local consumers' demand for welfare-friendly products ⇒ more sales on domestic markets
- ❖ Meet international consumers' demand ⇒ more export sales



Better welfare increases farms' profitability 1

Direct financial benefits, e.g.

- ✦ Fewer treatments and thus lower costs
- ✦ Increased productivity, e.g. gentle handling ⇒ better milk letdown
- ✦ Maximises sale value, e.g. no bruising at slaughter

mortgage repayments, farm upkeep, etc.

Non-financial benefits, e.g.

- ✦ Peace of mind
- ✦ More time

Indirect financial benefits

- ✦ Maximises income, e.g. schooling,

Better welfare increases farms' profitability 2

Direct costs of clinical lameness to dairy farmer
(Bruijn et al., 2010; Cha et al., 2010)

US \$75–\$300 per case because of

- ✦ **Reduced milk production**
- ✦ **Increased calving interval**
- ✦ **Extra labour on the part of the farmer**
- ✦ **Veterinary visits**
- ✦ **Foot trimmer**
- ✦ **Treatment**
- ✦ **Discarded milk**
- ✦ **Replacement value if have to cull**

Better welfare increases farms' profitability 3

Direct cost if animals are stressed at slaughter
(Grandin, 2010):

- ⌘ **Reduced meat quality ⇒ abattoir loses contract with retailer**
- ⌘ **Penalties, e.g. \$20 for each fatigued pig in USA**

Better welfare increases farms' profitability 4

Direct costs of overworking draught oxen (Lawrence & Pearson, 2002)

- ❖ One day of normal work uses same energy as 1 kg of weight gain or 4–5 litres of milk
- ❖ Overwork and lack of supplementary feed ⇒
- ❖ Reduced fertility
- ❖ Reduced milk output for calves
- ❖ Reduced growth of animals for sale as meat when ~12 years old

Better welfare increases farms' profitability 5

Direct costs of malnutrition in free-range merino sheep (Kingwell, 2002)

- ✦ **Body condition score 1 ⇒ reduced profits:**
- ✦ Very thin so reduced price for meat
- ✦ Poor quality wool ⇒ price is reduced by 25 per cent
- ✦ Reduced lambing
- ✦ Reduced wool yield
- ✦ Higher death rates

Why do farmers not maximise welfare? (Leach et al., 2010) 1

Developing countries – limited income and access to government services ⇒ cannot control diseases
(Rich & Perry, 2011)

Uncertainty re. exact costs of the problem

The welfare problem may not be seen as important, despite the costs

Willingness to pay, e.g. training staff, veterinary fees

Non-financial costs, e.g. extra time needed

Why do farmers not maximise welfare? (Leach et al., 2010) 2

Hopelessness? Farmer may feel weighed down by other factors such as low market price

Communication (Kristensen & Enevoldsen, 2008)

Capital and running costs of better husbandry system



Examples of links between better welfare and profitability

Capital and running costs of changing husbandry system (Stevenson, 2011)

- ✦ **Laying hens, UK: changing from cages to free-range increases production costs by 33 per cent**
- ✦ **Sows, USA: changing from gestation stalls to group housing increases production cost by ~ US 10¢/kg of finished pig meat; outdoors ⇒ increased production cost of ~ US 17¢/kg meat**
- ✦ **Supplying growing pigs with straw, Italy: labour + 0.35 kg straw per pig per week ⇒ increased production cost of ~ US 0.1¢/kg pork**

Better welfare ❖ more sales in domestic markets 1

Assurances to consumers – codes of practice and legislation

- ❖ However, farmer has to bear all costs of complying
- ❖ Public funding? For example, in Ireland, suckler cow welfare plan (Stevenson, 2011)
 - ❖ Improves welfare-related genetic traits
 - ❖ Minimum calving age
 - ❖ Weaning procedure
 - ❖ Training farmers on welfare aspects
- ❖ Result: improved performance and quality; major reduction in disease and treatments required

Better welfare ❖ more sales in domestic markets 2

Assurances to consumers – supermarkets and chain restaurants

- ❖ Top five supermarket companies control 30 per cent of grocery market in USA; 50 per cent in UK; 60 per cent in Germany; 90 per cent in France (Holz-Clause & Geisler, 2012)

Corporate ethical responsibility – farm assurance schemes

- ❖ Market advantage for retailer
- ❖ Can dictate price and specification to farmer, but may not increase price paid to them
- ❖ Difficult for farmers in low-income countries to meet standards

Better welfare ❖ more sales in domestic markets 3

Examples of farm assurance schemes

- ❖ UK: Freedom Foods
- ❖ USA: Certified Humane
- ❖ Brazil: Ecocert Brazil
- ❖ Namibia: Farm Assured Namibian Meat



Better welfare – not always a selling point 1

Not all consumers value animal welfare

If welfare is not a public concern, it is hard for retailers to promote it (Veissier et al., 2008)

- ⌘ Cheap food policy
- ⌘ Under international trade law, countries cannot restrict imports on the basis of production method:
- ⌘ Local farmers with high welfare standards have to compete with cheaper, low-welfare imports – especially processed products, e.g. dried egg, dried milk

Better welfare – not always a selling point **2**

Consumers may not want to pay higher prices for better animal welfare

- ✦ **E.g. EU and Chile**
(Schnettler et al., 2009; Toma et al., 2011)

Educating consumers and labelling food?
(Toma et al., 2011)

- ✦ **Consumer concerns about labelling**
- ✦ **Stated willingness to pay vs. actual behaviour**

Potential solutions

Solution 1: state support (Stevenson, 2011)

- ✦ **Tax breaks for farmers' capital costs**
- ✦ **No government services tax (value-added tax) on sale of welfare-friendly products, i.e. retailers pay farmers more and adjust retail price. However, because there is no extra tax, the total cost to consumer remains unchanged**

Solution 2: tariff discrimination? (Grethe, 2007)

- ✦ **Charge tariff to producer if not high-welfare, rather than tariff to country**

Better welfare ❖ can supply export markets

Examples

- ❖ Farm Assured Namibian Meat (beef) exported to the EU
- ❖ Brazilian beef supplied to Carrefour supermarkets in Europe

WTO trading restrictions – a country cannot generally

- ❖ Ban imports of products on ethical grounds
- ❖ Insist that laws designed to protect animals must apply to imported products as well as those produced domestically

Retailers are exempt from WTO rules

Summary

How improving animal welfare can increase a farmer's income

- ▣ What prevents this from happening

Increased profitability of the farm

- ▣ Why farmers may not take advantage of this

More sales in domestic markets

Why consumers may not want to pay more for products

More export sales

- ▣ How international trade law may reduce welfare



Feedback:

Please let us know what you think

- ❖ How have you used this module?
- ❖ What did you like about it?
- ❖ What did you not like?
- ❖ Do you have any tips to share?

Please take part in our 10 minute survey here:

<https://www.surveymonkey.com/s/BKP3D6H>

Your feedback will help other teachers like you

References

- Bruijnis, M. R. N., Hogeveen, H., & Stassen, E. N. (2010). Assessing economic consequences of foot disorders in dairy cattle using a dynamic stochastic simulation model. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 93, 2419-2432.
- Cha, E., Hertl, J. A., Bar, D., & Gröhn, Y.T. (2010). The cost of different types of lameness in dairy cows calculated by dynamic programming. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, 97, 1-8.
- Grandin, T. (2010). Implementing effective standards and scoring systems for assessing animal welfare on farms and slaughter plants. In T. Grandin (Ed.), *Improving animal welfare. A practical approach* (pp. 32-48). Wallingford, UK: CABI.
- Grethe, H. (2007). High animal welfare standards in the EU and international trade – how to prevent potential ‘low animal welfare havens’? *Food Policy*, 32, 315-333.
- Hewson, C. J. (2007). Hidden costs of food production: the veterinarian’s role. *Journal of Veterinary Medical Education*, 33, 561-566.
- Holz-Clause, M., & Geisler, M. (2012). Grocery retailing profile. Ag Marketing Resource Center, Iowa State University. Retrieved from www.agmrc.org/markets__industries/food/grocery_industry.cfm
- Kingwell, R. (2002). Sheep animal welfare in a low rainfall Mediterranean environment: a profitable investment? *Agricultural Systems*, 74, 221-240.
- Kristensen, E., & Enevoldsen, C. (2008). A mixed methods inquiry: How dairy farmers perceive the values of their involvement in an intensive dairy herd health management program. *Acta Veterinaria Scandinavica*, 50, Article no 50 Available at: <http://www.actavetscand.com/content/pdf/1751-0147-50-50.pdf>
- Lawrence, P. R., & Pearson, R. A. (2002). Use of draught animal power in small mixed farms in Asia. *Agricultural Systems*, 71, 99-110.
- Leach, K. A., Whay, H. R., Maggs, C. M., & Barker, Z. E. (2010). Working towards a reduction in cattle lameness: 1. Understanding barriers to lameness control on dairy farms. *Research in Veterinary Science*, 89, 311-317.
- Norwood, F. B., & Lusk, J. L. (2011). *Compassion by the pound. The economics of farm animal welfare* (pp. 1-6). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Rich, C. M., & Perry, B. D. (2011). The economic and poverty impacts of animal diseases in developing countries: New roles, new demands for economics and epidemiology. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, 101, 133-147.

References

Robinson, T. P., Thornton, P. K., Franceschini, G., Kruska R., Chiozza, F., Notenbaert, A., Cecchi, G., Herrero, M., Epprecht, M., Fritz, S., You, L., Conchedda, G., & See, L., (2011). Global livestock production systems. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) and International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI).

Schnettler, B., Vidal, R., Silva, R., Vallejos, L., & Sepúlveda, N. (2009). Consumer willingness to pay for beef meat in a developing country: The effect of information regarding country of origin, price and animal handling prior to slaughter. *Food Quality and Preference*, 20, 156-165.

Stevenson, P. (2011). *Reviewing the costs. The economics of moving to higher welfare farming*. Godalming, UK: Compassion in World Farming.

Toma, L., Stott, A. W., Revoredo-Giha, C., & Kupiec-Teahan, B. (2012). Consumers and animal welfare. A comparison between European Union countries. *Appetite*, 58: 597-607.

Veissier, I., Butterworth, A., Bock, B., & Roe, E. (2008). European approaches to ensure good animal welfare. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*, 113, 279-297.

World Bank (2006). Creating business opportunity through improved animal welfare. Good Practice Note, April, no. 6. New York: International Finance Corporation, World Bank Group